S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.682/05
Ramesh Kumar Jangir & Anr.
Vs.
The Staff Selection Commission & Ors.

Date of Order : 29/05/2006

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ajay Rastogi

Mr. Dharamveer Tholia, for petitioners

Instant petition has been filed seeking direction for consideration of their candidature for appointment for the post of SI in CPO 2002.

As alleged in the writ petition, petitioners have participated in the process of selection for the post of Sub-Inspector CPO 2002 and qualified written test and physical test, but before they could be called for interview, they were sent for medical examination and finally they have been found to be medically unfit, but on appeal being preferred, their matter was placed before the Review Medical Board where both the petitioners were found to be medically unfit and documents in this regard have been placed on record as Ann.4 & Ann.5 and thereafter, petitioners were permitted to participate in further process for interview, but finally through website the petitioners have received information that their names have not been finally recommended for appointment, documents in this regard have been placed on record as Ann.6 & Ann.7.

Counsel for petitioners submits that where the process of selection initiated by the respondents was common, their results could not be declared in peace meal, as such very process initiated in declaring result by truncating the list of selected candidates is arbitrary and violative of Article 14 of the

Constitution.

Counsel further submits that less meritorious person was selected and this information was available with him which he has also pointed out while submitting notice of demand for justice.

I have considered the submissions made and perused the material available on record.

This fact remain undisputed that petitioners were permitted to participate in the process of selection upto the final stage of interview and now having information which they took from internet of not being recommended for appointment, documents have been placed on record as Ann.6 & Ann.7. The submission made by the counsel in my opinion is without any substance for the reason that when the petitioners are not the applicants finally selected, their their rights in no manner are affected even if the list of selected candidates has been published in piece meal.

So far as the submission made with regard to less meritorious candidates were appointed by the respondents is concerned, there is nothing on record to show about those who were less meritorious in comparison to petitioners were selected and considered for appointment by the respondents, and it is of no substance.

Consequently, the writ petition fails and is hereby dismissed in limine.

[Ajay Rastogi],J.

FRB